



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,013	07/22/2005	Junji Takemoto	MAT-8709US	4031
23122	7590	02/14/2008		
RATNERPRESTIA			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 980			DIAO, M BAYE	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/543,013

Applicant(s)

TAKEMOTO ET AL.

Examiner

M'BAYE DIAO

Art Unit

2838

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) M'BAYE DIAO (PTO) M.D.(3) JACQUES ETKOWICZ (AR).(2) BAO Q. VU (PTO).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: USPAT 5,752,084; USPAT 6,265,840.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Both party agreed on the fact that the limitation, "direct physical contact" as recited in claim is not new matter and it is supported by the application as originally filed. It was also agreed that Motomura (USPAT 5,752,084) failed to teach a control circuit portion incorporated into the case in a way in which the circuit board is housed in the case in an upright position with respect to the case and the circuit board is out of direct physical contact.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bao Q. Vu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2838
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.